



ARCHIVES

INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE

Peace Palace, 2517 KJ The Hague. Tel. 92 44 41. Cables: Intercourt, The Hague

Telex 32323

Communiqué

*unofficial
for immediate release*

*213-87 Judgment 1988 1500
80-1-28 270 2/1/1988
by the Court 2/1/1988*

No. 88/7
30 March 1988

Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua
(Nicaragua v. United States of America)

Filing of Memorial

The following information is made available to the Press by the Registry of the International Court of Justice:

Within the time-limit of 29 March 1988, fixed by the Court by an Order of 18 November 1987, Nicaragua has filed its Memorial on the question of the form and amount of reparation to be made in the case concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities in and against Nicaragua. The Order further fixed 29 July 1988 as the time-limit for the Counter-Memorial of the United States of America on that question.

In the Order of 18 November 1987 the Court referred to the Judgment delivered by the Court on 27 June 1986 by which it found (inter alia) that the United States of America was under an obligation to make reparation to the Republic of Nicaragua for all injury caused to Nicaragua by certain breaches of obligations under customary international law and treaty-law committed by the United States of America. In that Judgment the Court decided that the form and amount of such reparation, failing agreement between the Parties, would be settled by the Court, and reserved, for that purpose, the subsequent procedure in the case.

After having ascertained the views of the Government of Nicaragua and having afforded the Government of the United States of America an opportunity of stating its views, the Court fixed the time-limits indicated above for written pleadings on the question of the form and amount of reparation to be made in the case and reserved the subsequent procedure for further decision.

By a letter dated 13 November 1987, the Deputy-Agent of the United States had informed the Registrar that the United States remained of the view that the Court was without jurisdiction to entertain the dispute and that the Nicaraguan Application was inadmissible